## SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 598 of 2000

For	Approval	and	Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL Sd/-

-----

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO to see the judgements?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
- 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO of the judgement?
- 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order madJJJJJ

## 

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO  $$\operatorname{Nos.}\ 1$$  to 5 No

-----

RAMANLAL BHOLIDAS PATEL

Versus

STATE OF GUAJRAT

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Appearance:

MR MA PAREKH for Petitioners

MR BY MANKAD, AGP. for Respondents No.1 and 2.

MR VK BHATT FOR NANAVATI & NANAVATI for Respondent No. 4

-----

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

Date of decision: 16/02/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Rule. Mr.B.Y.Mankad and Mr.V.K.Bhatt waive service of rule for the respective parties.

The learned Counsel for the respondent no.4 states that he has no objection if the injunction granted by the Secretary is continued during the pendency of the revision before the Special Secretary provided the Special Secretary is directed to dispose of the revision application within a reasonable period. In the circumstances, there shall be stay of the order dated 16.8.1999 passed by the City Mamlatdar during the pendency of the revision before the Secretary and the Secretary is directed to hear and dispose of the revision application within two months from the date of the receipt of this order. Rule made absolute accordingly with no order as to costs. Direct service permitted.

----

m.m.bhatt